

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

**CIVIL MINUTES - GENERAL**

Case No.	CV 11-03040 AHM (FFMx)	Date	May 17, 2011
Title	LINDA GREGORY, et al. v. NOVARTIS PHARMACEUTICALS CORP.		

Present: The Honorable	A. HOWARD MATZ, U.S. DISTRICT JUDGE		
Stephen Montes	Not Reported		
Deputy Clerk	Court Reporter / Recorder		Tape No.
Attorneys <b>NOT</b> Present for Plaintiffs:		Attorneys <b>NOT</b> Present for Defendants:	

**Proceedings:** IN CHAMBERS (No Proceedings Held)

Before the Court is a Complaint filed by plaintiffs Linda Gregory and Stephen Gregory ("Plaintiffs"). The Complaint alleges claims for strict liability, negligent manufacturing, failure to warn, breach of implied and express warranties, and loss of consortium, arising out of defendant Novartis' ("Defendant") production and marketing of drugs that cause osteonecrosis of the jaw. Based on the allegations in the Complaint, Plaintiffs reside in Montgomery, Alabama, and Defendant is a Delaware corporation with principal offices located in New Jersey.

The Court orders the parties separately to show cause, in writing not to exceed 10 pages, why this action should not be transferred to the United States District Court for the Middle District of Alabama ("Middle District of Alabama") for the convenience of the parties and witnesses, and in the interests of justice. See 28 U.S.C. §§ 124(a), 1404(a). All factual matters relied upon in the parties' submissions must be supported by appropriate declarations and admissible evidence. To assist the Court in determining whether transfer is appropriate and in the interest of justice, the parties are directed to address the following, in addition to sharing their beliefs as to which forum is more convenient for the parties and witnesses:

1. Whether this action could have been brought in the Middle District of Alabama;
2. Whether venue is appropriate in the Middle District of Alabama;
3. What contacts, if any, each of the parties has to the Central District of California ("Central District") and to the Middle District of Alabama. The parties should include

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

**CIVIL MINUTES - GENERAL**

Case No.	CV 11-03040 AHM (FFMx)	Date	May 17, 2011
Title	LINDA GREGORY, et al. v. NOVARTIS PHARMACEUTICALS CORP.		

information regarding the location of their administrative offices, real property, sources of revenue, and points of public contact;

4. What connection Plaintiffs' causes of action have to the Central District and to the Middle District of Alabama;
5. Which witnesses are expected to be called and where they reside;
6. The availability of compulsory process to compel attendance of unwilling non-party witnesses in the Central District as compared to the Middle District of Alabama;
7. The ease of access to sources of proof in each of the two forums;
8. The expected difference in the cost of litigation in the Central District as compared to the Middle District of Alabama; and
9. Whether there are any alternative forums, other than the Central District or the Middle District of Alabama, that would be more convenient for this action and why, keeping in mind the inquiries above.

The parties are ordered to file their respective responses to this Order no later than June 7, 2011. Failure by Plaintiffs timely to respond to this Order may result in the dismissal of this action without prejudice.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Initials of Preparer

\_\_\_\_\_  
: \_\_\_\_\_  
SMO  
\_\_\_\_\_